TOPEKA - Thirty-four Title I school districts and 46 Title I schools in Kansas were identified as "on improvement" for the 2011-2012 school year during the Kansas State Board of Education meeting in Topeka today.

For Immediate Release August 9, 2011 Kathy Toelkes, Director of Communications, 785-296-4876

Number of Kansas schools and districts on improvement increases Schools progressing, but performance targets increasing at faster pace

TOPEKA – Thirty-four Title I school districts and 46 Title I schools in Kansas were identified as "on improvement" for the 2011-2012 school year during the Kansas State Board of Education meeting in Topeka today. While both numbers increased from the previous year, they represent just 12 percent of Title I districts in the state, and just 7 percent of the 667 Title I schools in Kansas.

"It's not surprising that we're seeing the number of schools and districts on improvement increasing from year to year given that performance targets are increasing as much as 8 percent each year," said Education Commissioner Diane DeBacker. "What is more surprising is the relatively small percentage of our Title I schools and districts that are on improvement this far into the federal No Child Left Behind accountability system that requires 100 percent proficiency by 2014."

The total number of Title I schools on improvement increased by nine from the previous year, but 12 of the schools that were on improvement last year came off of improvement this year. In addition, 12 more of the Title I schools that were on improvement last year made adequate yearly progress (AYP) this year in the area that was identified for improvement. Schools that meet AYP in the identified area of improvement for two consecutive years are no longer considered on improvement. Twenty-one of the schools on the improvement list are on improvement for the first time.

Among the Title I school districts identified for improvement this year, 22 are in only their first or second year of improvement. Of the eight districts in their second year of improvement, four met AYP in the identified area of improvement this year.

"While the number of schools and districts on improvement has gone up, we are still seeing great progress in student performance among the majority of the schools on improvement," DeBacker said. "The assistance provided to schools and districts on improvement appears to be having a positive impact and even though the schools may not be improving at a rate that matches the dramatic yearly increases in AYP performance targets, it's clear that these schools are on the right track in terms of helping all students learn."

Schools identified as on improvement are provided with additional funding to implement improvement plans, as well as an implementation coach who is onsite regularly to help develop and implement those plans. Districts on improvement receive a needs assessment as well as

PLAINTIFFS' **EX.** 99

	·	

technical assistance in meeting the needs identified in the assessment.

Only Title I schools can be placed on improvement. Title I schools are those that receive federal Title I funds, typically schools with high percentages of students receiving free and reduced price lunches. Schools and districts are placed on improvement when they do not make AYP for two consecutive years. AYP designations require that schools and districts meet specified targets for student performance and participation on reading and math assessments, as well as in the areas of attendance and graduation. Performance targets must be met for the full student population in specified grades, as well as for sub-groups based on race/ethnicity, income level, special needs and English proficiency within those grades. Once a school or district is placed on improvement, it cannot come off of improvement until it has made AYP for two consecutive years in the identified area of improvement.

Each year a school is on improvement a different level of sanction is applied. In the first year of improvement, students in the school must be offered the choice to transfer to another school in the district that is not on improvement. In the second year of improvement, the school must provide supplementary educational services (SES) to low-income students. In the third year on improvement, the school must take corrective action to improve the school, such as replacing staff, implementing new curriculum, etc. In the fourth year of improvement the school must prepare a plan to restructure the school to address the areas in need of improvement, and in the fifth year of improvement the school must implement restructuring. The sanctions are cumulative and accrue from year to year unless the school makes AYP in the identified area of improvement for a given year. When that happens, the school is placed on delayed status, meaning it will not advance to the next level of sanctions unless it does not meet AYP requirements in the next year.

The list of districts and schools on improvement for 2011-2012 follows and is provided as an attachment.

Kansas State Department of Education Title I Schools and Districts Identified for Improvement for 2011-2012

Title I Districts Identified for Improvement for 2011-2012 Summary

- 34 Title I districts are identified for improvement for 2011-2012; in 2010-2011there were 24 districts identified for improvement
- Three districts went off improvement: USD 257 Iola, USD 398 Peabody-Burns and USD 503 Parsons
- USD 424 Mullinville disorganized; therefore, it is not on the improvement list
- 20 districts have no Title I schools on improvement
- 3 districts that are not on improvement have Title I schools on improvement
- 6 districts on improvement in 2010-2011 made AYP in the area identified for improvement; USD 480 made it in reading but not in mathematics
- 14 districts are in 1st year of improvement
- 12 districts are in corrective action status (3 or more years on improvement)
- 12 districts are on improvement for only reading; 7 are on improvement for only mathematics
- 15 districts are on improvement for both reading and mathematics

			\$

2010-2011 AYP district reading targets were 86.0%
2010-2011 AYP district mathematics targets were 82.3%

## Title I Districts Identified for Improvement for 2011-2012

USD-	USD Name	School	Area(s) of Improvement	# Years on	Improvement	Made AYP	2011-2012
	100 TO 10			Improvement	Status Year	(D) = Delays Next Level of Sanction	School Sanctions
209	Moscow	Moscow Elementary	Reading	1	1	No Reading	Choice
214	TH	77 1 3 2 1 2 2	1			Yes Math	
214	Ulysses	Kepley Middle School	Reading and Mathematics	5	3 Reading	No Reading	Choice, SES, Corrective Action
					1 Math	Yes Math	
214	Ulysses	Sullivan Elementary	Reading and Mathematics	2	2	No Reading	Choice, SES
259	Wichita	Andaman 171	76.0			No Math	
239	Wichita	Anderson Elementary	Mathematics	2	1	No Reading	Choice
						Yes Math	
259	3371 -1-14-	701 1 07 11/1				(D)	
259	Wichita Wichita	Black Traditional Magnet Elementary	Reading	1 .	1	No Reading Yes Math	Choice
239	Wichita	Brooks Magnet Middle School	Mathematics	1	1	No Reading	Choice
259	Wichita	Coleman Middle	Mathematics	<u> </u>		No Math	
	W Ionia	School	wattematics		1	No Reading	Choice
259	Wichita	Curtis Middle School	Mathematics	8	5	No Math	Ol. t. and
		- Maria Maria Do. 1861	- Mathomatics		3	Yes Reading	Choice, SES, Restructure
59	Wichita	Franklin Elementary	Reading	. 2	1	No Math Yes Reading	Choice
		•		. <del>-</del>	•	res Reading	Choice
:						Yes Math	
59	YY2! -1.14 .					(D)	
. 90	Wichita	Gardiner Elementary	Mathematics	2	1	Yes Reading	Choice
						Yes Math	
60	320 134					(D)	
59	Wichita	Hamilton Middle	Reading and Mathematics	8	5	No Reading	Choice, SES, Restructure
59	Wichita	School Tankers				No Math	
.,	w icinta	Jardine Technology Middle Magnet	Reading and Mathematics	6	4	Yes Reading	Choice, SES,
				•		Yes Math	Plan to Restructure
						(D)	

259	Wichita	Lincoln Elementary	Reading and Mathematics	2	. 1	Yes Reading	Choice
						Yes Math	
						(D)	•
259	Wichita	Marshall Middle School	Mathematics	1	1	Yes Reading	Choice
						No Math	
259	Wichita	Mead Middle School	Mathematics	1	1	No Reading	Choice
						No Math	
259	Wichita	Pleasant Valley Middle School	Reading and Mathematics	8	5	No Reading	Choice, SES, Restructure
						No Math	
259	Wichita	Stanley Elementary	Mathematics	2	1	Yes Reading	Choice
	1					Yes Math	
	i					(D)	
259	Wichita	Truesdell Middle School	Reading and Mathematics	7	. 5	No Reading	Choice, SES, Restructure
						No Math	
261	Haysville	Prairie Elementary	Reading and Mathematics	2	1	Yes Reading	Choice
				•		Yes Math	
						(D)	
308	Hutchinson	Lincoln Elementary	Mathematics	3	2	No Reading	Choice, SES
						No Math	
343	Perry-	Perry-Lecompton	Mathematics	1	. 1	Yes Reading	Choice
	Lecompton	Middle School		•	•	_	Choice
109	Atchison	Atchison Elementary	Reading	1	. 1	No Math No Reading	Choice
		School	Reduing	1		•	Choice
45	Coffeyville	Community	Reading	1	<del></del> 1	Yes Math	- 61 1
10	Concyvine	Elementary	Reading .	1	1	No Reading	Choice
50	Shawnee	Tecumseh North	Danding and	1		No Math	
50	Heights	Elementary	Reading and Mathematics	1	1	No Reading	Choice ·
57	Garden City	Bernadine Sitts	Doodless d			No Math	
J	Oarden City	Intermediate Ctr	Reading and Mathematics	1	1	No Reading	Choice
57	Garden City	Charles O Stones	Reading	2		No Math	OL. I. OTO
J 1	Oatuon Oity	Intermediate Ctr	Keaung	2	2	No Reading	Choice, SES
69	Lansing	Lansing Elementary	Mathematics	<del>1</del>	1	Yes Math Yes Reading	Choice
	<b>D</b>	y		•		_	OHOICE
75	Geary County	Junction City Middle	Mathematics	2	<u> </u>	No Math	Chaine
	Soury County	School School	Maniematics	4	1	Yes Reading	Choice

		To the state of th
		a de la companya de l

					:			Yes Math	
					:			<b>(D)</b>	
								(D) Yes Reading	Choice, SES
	Liberal	Cottonwood N	lathematics	3			2	. 100 100	
0	Moorai	Intermediate						Yes Math	
				<i>y</i> *				(D)	
				6.			5	No Reading	Choice, SES,
30	Liberal	Diocial Comm.	Reading and Mathematics	0.			•		
		School	Atathemanes					No Math	Restructure Choice, SES,
		Ti-montory	Mathematics	6			4	Yes Reading	Choice, and,
00	Kansas City	Banneker Elementary	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					Yes Math	Plan to Restructure
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						:	
								(D)	Choice, SES
	Att.	Douglass Elementary	Reading	. 2			2	No Reading	Ollotod, Diba
00	Kansas City	Dongrass Promourary		. '				Yes Math	
							4	Yes Readin	Choice, SES,
500	Kansas City	Grant Elementary	Reading and Mathematics	6			4	Yes Math	Plan to Restructure
								T 62 Mrum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
								(D)	
				1			1	No Readin	g Choice
500	Kansas City	JC Harmon High	Reading and Mathematics	1			•		•
200		School	Matuemanes					No Math	
			Mathematics	1			1	No Readir	g Choice
500	Kansas City	New Stanley	Manichianes			•			
		Elementary	:		·			No Math Yes Readi	
700	Kansas City	Northwest Middle	Mathematics	7			5	I 68 Veudi	Restructure
500	Maiisus City	4 1000011 700						No Mat	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							1	No Readi	
500	Kansas City	Rosedale Middle	Mathematics				=		
		School						No Mat	
		TTT 1 -44 - TTI mb	Mathematics				1	Yes Read	ing Choice
500	Kansas City	Wyandotte High School	**************************************			•		Tr 3.f.	th.
		COLOUI		:				Yes Ma	
501	Topeka	Eisenhower Middle	Mathematics		1		1	1 65 16000	
501	1 obeva	School						No Ma	th
					1		1	No Read	ling Choice
501	Topeka	Highland Park	Reading		•				
1		Central Elementary						No Ma	
		Meadows Elementary	Reading		2		1	Yes Rea	ding Choice
501	Topeka	Meadows Eletterum	,	•				Yes M	ath
								(D)	ding Choice
	The state of the s	Quincy Elementary	Reading and		1		• 1	l No Res	ming Cuoico
50	I Topeka	Gamel Promounts	Mathematics					No M	ath
								2 No Res	nding Choice, SES
		Ross Elementary	Reading		2			4	
50	1 Topeka	10000 Element							

			- PARTERIAL - PART
		·	

1 501	Topeka	Scott Computer	Reading	5	3	No Reading	Choice, SES, Corrective Action
301	Торски	Technology Magnet				Yes Math	Choice
501	Topeka	State Street	Reading	1	i	No Reading	Choice
301	r copour	Elementary				No Math	. Choice
		Shawanoe	Reading	1	1	No Reading	. Choice
512	Shawnee Mission	Elementary	•			No Math	